

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/785,459		02/23/2004	Robert Peterson	200202.404	8561
31740	7590	04/07/2006		EXAMINER	
THOMAS			HUNTER, ALVIN A		
P.O. BOX 21466 SEATTLE, WA 98111				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
55.11155,	>01	•		3711	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
				DATE MAILED: 04/07/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/785,459	PETERSON, ROBERT					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Alvin A. Hunter	3711					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
<ul> <li>1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 Dec</li> <li>2a) ☐ This action is FINAL.</li> <li>2b) ☐ This</li> <li>3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro						
Disposition of Claims	·						
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 21 and 22 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>							
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the orange Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the orange replacement or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  12. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  13. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  14. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  15. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  16. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  17. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  18. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner  19. **The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner is objected to by the Examiner is objected to be a control in the oath of the oath or declaration is objected to be a control in the oath of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical statement of the prioric</li></ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5. Patent and Trademark Office	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa						

## **DETAILED ACTION**

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freitag et al. (USPN 6479560) in view of Puckett et al. (USPN 4836552).

In regards to claim 21, Freitag et al. discloses a foaming composition comprising an ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer, a thermoplastic elastomer, and a blowing agent (See Columns 5, lines 25 through 41; and Column 6, lines 6 through 54). Freitag et al. discloses that the foam composition may be used for a number of purposes but does not explicitly state that the foam may be used within a golf ball (See Summary of the Invention). Puckett et al. disclose a golf ball in which is foamed for reducing flight (See Abstract). One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to have the composition molding into a one-piece golf ball, or for that matter, any similar ball-type article, as taught by Puckett et al., in order to produce a golf ball with reduced flight distance. It is a given that the combination of Frietag et al. and Puckett et al. has a coefficient of restitution but does not explicitly disclose the value. Applicant does not state why the value of coefficient of restitution is critical in order to attain the invention. Further, Frietag et al. discloses the composition claimed by the applicant. One having ordinary skill in the art would have found it obvious to find the optimum range through

routine experimentation. The combination of Frietag et al. and Puckett et al. teaches reduced flight distance and therefore, would perform equally as well because of such.

In regards to claim 22, Freitag et al. discloses the thermoplastic elastomer is a styrene tri-block copolymer (See Column 6, lines 32 through 54).

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 21 and 22 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alvin A. Hunter whose telephone number is (571) 272-4411. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 7:30AM to 4:00PM Eastern Time.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Kim, can be reached on 571-272-4463. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/785,459

Art Unit: 3711

HAA

Alvin A. Hunter, Jr.

EUGENE KIM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Jonele

Page 4